



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

to give or withhold their approval for a license, and that therefore the law was invalid as depriving the plaintiffs of due process of law and of the equal protection of the law. *Held*, the statute does not vest an arbitrary power in the police and fire commissioners. It prescribes a standard of qualification that is an ascertainable and known one, and is readily understood as a matter of common knowledge. *Manufacturers' and Merchants' Inspection Bureau v. Buech* (Wis., 1921), 181 N. W. 125.

In this case, however, while holding that the legislation did not result in a denial of due process of law, nor confer legislative authority on the fire and police commissioners, yet the court held that plaintiffs had stated a good cause of action and were entitled to relief because they alleged that the commissioners capriciously and wrongfully refused to grant the applications. The principles involved in these cases are discussed in 19 MICH. L. REV. 211.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—STATUTE REGULATING THE SALE OF TEXT-BOOKS.—Public Acts of Michigan, 1919, No. 380, regulating the sale of school text-books by prohibiting public officers from buying any books except those listed with the state superintendent of schools and at certain fixed prices, *held* constitutional, except as to Section 7, which, in making it unlawful for retail dealers to sell books at higher prices than those listed, without limiting such prohibited sales to school officers, is void as beyond the power of the state. *MacMillan Co. v. Johnson* (D. C., S. D., Mich., 1920), 269 Fed. Rep. 28.

Unquestionably, the legislature has the general power to regulate the conditions under which the state may deal with those who are desirous of selling text-books for use in the public schools. *MacQueen v. Port Huron*, 194 Mich. 328. Plaintiff publishing company having no vested right to deal with the school authorities, and not being forced to do so, may not then complain because these authorities impose conditions upon which they will purchase such text-books. *Polzin v. Rand, McNally & Co.*, 250 Ill. 561. The only invalidity appearing in the act is found in Section 7, providing that no retail dealer shall sell any of the listed books at a price higher than fifteen per cent above the wholesale price and the cost of transportation. Such a provision is an unwarranted interference with the right of contract and the right to engaged in the private business of book-selling at retail, and beyond the power of the state. For this general subject of the right of legislatures to regulate prices, see *Munn v. Illinois*, 94 U. S. 113; 19 MICH. L. REV. 74. That statutes regulating the retail prices of books are not unknown; however, appears from similar statutes found in the English enactments of the time of Henry VIII, Chapter 15, and from a statute enacted in New York in 1786. GREENLEAF'S LAWS, p. 275.

CONTRACTS—MORAL CONSIDERATION.—By the terms of an oral contract under which plaintiff had effected a sale of land for defendant a commission of \$500 was due. Section 11,981 of MICHIGAN COMPILED LAWS (1915) provides that "Every agreement, promise, or contract to pay any commission